# DORA: Nuove regole per velocizzare la digitalizzazione e gestire i rischi ICT; Cosa "Re -inventare"?

Nicasio Muscia – Managing Director Accenture Risk & Compliance

June 2023



# **DORA: OVERVIEW AND KEY BREAKTHROUGH**



- DORA KEY PILLARS
- Set-up and maintain resilient ICT systems and tools that minimize the impact of ICT risk
- Set up dedicated and comprehensive BC Policies and DR plans



- Establish and implement a management process to monitor and log ICT-related incidents
- Report "major" ICT-related incidents to their national regulator.



- Test capabilities and functions included in the ICT risk management framework on a regular basis
- Execute a full range of appropriate tests, including vulnerability assessments and scans, security assessments,



- Enable a **monitoring of ICT third-party risk** throughout the relationship conclusion, performance, termination
- Prescribe certain content requirements for contracts between financial entities and ICT third-party service

### **KEY BREAKTHROUGH INTRODUCED**



Overcome the **siloed perspective of management of DIGITAL RISK** by asking for a comprehensive assessment



Enhance the **solidity and features of digital risk management** tools and methodologies by moving towards **QUANTITATIVE AND DYNAMIC ANALYSIS** 



**Enhance testing methodologies** by moving from asset perspective to **process one** by asking for alignment with **TIBER – EU REQUIREMENTS** 



Extend requirements also to supply chain and vendor management in order to ensure same level of security features and methodologies

# **STATE OF THE ART: FS ITALIAN MARKET MATURITY LEVEL**









Aligned

💛 Partially Aligned 🌘





01







Not aligned

#### **BANKING PLAYERS**

#### DESCRIPTION

- Overall ICT Risk management framework aligned to DORA
- Areas of improvement refer to structured asset inventory to address ICT analysis
- ICT incident management framework fully aligned with DORA requirements
- Performed standard resilience testing at asset level
- Need to align framework to TIBER EU framework
- Few players have implemented a sound third party management
- Remaining players highlight an unstructured management of third parties with missing features like (i) third party register, (ii) monitoring



### **INSURANCE PLAYERS**

#### **STATUS**



 Defined ICT risk management framework, although room of improvements impacting (i) policies/procedure and (ii) asset inventory

DESCRIPTION



- Solid ICT risk management framework
- Area of improvements refers to the need to further enrich incident reporting information (e.g asset impacted)



- Resilience testing widely performed across players in terms of VA/PT at asset level
- Areas of improvement regards the need to enhance current framework and align to TIBER – EU provision



- Third party management framework substantially adopted across players
- Room for improvements refer to (i) third party register and (ii) contract clause (e.g termination)

## **KEY POINTS OF ATT ENTION ACROSS DORA REQUIREMENTS**

| KEY PILLARS               | ΤΟΡΙΟ                              | DESCRIPTION  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| GOVERNANCE                | ROLES &<br>RESPONSABILITIES        | <ul> <li>Involvement a of a lead function responsible for leading the DORA<br/>Programme and underlying streams both at first &amp; second level (e.g. New<br/>Control function ex 285 Bankit)</li> </ul>  |  |  |  |
| ICT RISK<br>MANAGEMENT    | RESILIENCE METRICS &<br>THRESHOLDS | <ul> <li>Organize different information coming from key sources in order to define ad<br/>hoc indicators to monitoring group operational resilience</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |
|                           | TIRESHOLDS                         | <ul> <li>Set up ad hoc algorithm in order to define tailored thresholds considering also<br/>risk appetite and perform correlation analysis considering among evidences</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |
|                           | ASSET INVENTORY                    | <ul> <li>Set up a comprehensive catalog including all organization's assets (CMDB)</li> </ul>  |  |  |  |
| RESILIENCE<br>TESTING     | SCENARIO TESTING                   | <ul> <li>Extend typology of scenario testing in scope in order to cover all adverse<br/>events (e.g Wireless Assessment, Source Code analysis)</li> </ul>  |  |  |  |
|                           | TIBER EU – ALIGNMENT               | <ul> <li>Enrich operational resilience framework in order to align with TIBER – EU<br/>regulation by defining annual plan for execution, process and underlying<br/>actors to be involved</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |
| THIRD PARTY<br>MANAGEMENT | E2E THIRD PARTY<br>FRAMEWORK       | <ul> <li>Definition of an overall framework to handle the entire lifecycle of the third<br/>party with main focus on onboarding (i.e minimal contractual requirements),<br/>storage of information and post contract management (i.e exit strategy)</li> </ul> |  |  |  |
|                           |                                    | Copyright © 2023 Accenture. All rights reserved.   |  |  |  |

## ACCENTURE DORA COMPLIANCE PROGRAMME WEAREOUR FIRST CREDENTIAL FOR DORA PROGRAM SET-UP



## RELATIONSHIP WITH REGULATORS

#### 8 8 8 8 8

## INTERNAL DORA ASSESSMENT



SELECT "NO REGREAT ACTIONS"

Direct connection with regulators as expert matters in formalization and amendment and sharing of the regulation to impacted parties Internal DORA compliance as directly impacted by the regulation allowing development of assets and best practices to be roll out to clients Ready to use suite of service of DORA assets covering both inception phase and all pillars of the regulation

# ESAS REQUESTON TPRM INTRODUCTION

During the investigations phase to define what are the **regulatory technical** standards, EIOPA/EBA required Accenture (as a provider of critical services to Financia Institutions) to answer a questionnaire on the methodologies in place in third party lifecycle management





(Questions 1,2 and 3)

## **Risk Assessment & Mitigation** measures

(questions 4 and 5)



O, 

### **Incident Management** (Questions 6 and 7)



## **Audit Methodologies on Third party**

(Questions 8, 9 and 10)



# **New Oversight Framework**

(Question 11)



# OUR DORA IMPLEMENTATION ROADMAP PROPOSED APPROACH FOR EACH TOPIC

|        |  |  |  |  | ट्र ट्र<br>ट्र   |
|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
|        | WAVE CONTENT   | ICT RISK MANAGEMENT                              | ICT INCIDENT<br>MANAGEMENT   | OPERATIONAL<br>RESILIENCE TESTING                                | THIRD PARTY RISK<br>MANAGEMENT   |
| WAVE 1 | <ul> <li>Deep dive into activities<br/>needed to set up DORA<br/>programmed with no<br/>dependency with RTS</li> </ul>                             | Programme & Governance Model setup               |  |  |  |
|        |  | ICT RM Framework                                 | Incident Management<br>Framework   | Operational Resilience<br>Framework                              | Third Party Risk Management<br>framework                                       |
|        |  | Business Continuity<br>Framework                 |  | Threat & Scenario Modelling                                      | Contractual Framework  |
|        |  | Process/Assets Mapping                           |  |  |  |
|        | /  |  |  |  |  |
| WAVE 2 | <ul> <li>Deep dive into topic and<br/>underlying articles with RTS<br/>issuance within 12 months</li> </ul>  | <b>RM Framework</b> Enhancement<br>(i.e. Art 15) | Incident Management<br>Framework Enhancement -<br>Classification (i.e. Art. 16)              |  | <b>TPRM framework</b> Enhancement<br>- <b>Registry of information</b> (Art.    |
|        |  | BC Framework Enhancement                         |  |  | 28)  |
|        | /  | (i.e. Art 15)                                    |  |  | <b>TPRM framework</b> Enhancement<br>- <b>TP ICT services</b> Policy (Art. 28) |
| WAVE 3 | <ul> <li>Deep dive into topic and<br/>underlying articles with RTS<br/>issuance within 18 months</li> </ul>  |  | Incident Management<br>Framework Enhancement -<br>Major Incident Reporting (i.e.<br>Art. 20) | Threat <b>Led Penetration</b><br><b>test</b> model (i.e. Art 26) | Assessment on Sub –<br>contractor of relevant ICT<br>services (Art 30)         |
| WAVE 4 | <ul> <li>Focus on remaining activities<br/>related dashboard formalization<br/>&amp; sharing of information between<br/>relevant actors</li> </ul> |  | Harmonized <b>ICT incident</b><br><b>reporting</b> to supervisor (i.e.<br>Art 21)            |  |  |
|        |  | DORA Framework KPIs & Dashboarding               |  |  |  |
|        |  |  |  |  |  |

# **OUR DORA IMPLEMENTATION: "NO REGRET** ACTION



